

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viriginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/007,812	11/08/2001	Robert S. Supinski	011072	6892	
7590 10/30/2003			EXAMINER		
Lynn J. Alstadt			BONDERER, DAVID A		
Buchanan Inger	soll, P.C.		·		
One Oxford Center			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
301 Grant Street, 20th Floor			3732		
Pittsburgh, PA	15219				

DATE MAILED: 10/30/2003

5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•				\wedge K				
Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	7.11				
		10/007,81	12	SUPINSKI, ROBERT S.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		D. Austin	Bonderer ·	3732					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
Period for Reply A SUCREENED STATUTORY DEDICE FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH/S) FROM									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1)⊠ Respoi	nsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>14 October 20</u>	<u>03</u> .						
2a) ☐ This ac	ction is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims									
•	 4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-4,7,9-11 and 15-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 								
		<u>and 15-21</u> IS/are With	idrawn from conside	eration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6) Claim(s) <u>1,5,6,8,12-14 and 22-26</u> is/are rejected.									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)									
2) Notice of Drafts	ences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (P closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P			nary (PTO-413) Paper No nal Patent Application (PT					

Application/Control Number: 10/007,812

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1, 5, 6, 8, 12, 13, 14, and 22-26 in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 5, 6, 8, and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cohen et al.

Cohen discloses a Patella replacement comprising:

- A fist member made of a biocompatible material 16;
- Three apertures 18;
- A second member 12 made of polyethylene, titanium, and cobalt;
- Three projections 27; and
- The use of a porous Metal HEDROCEL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/007,812

Art Unit: 3732

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the

Page 3

invention was made.

5. Claims 22-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cohen in view

of Afrait et al.

Cohen lacks the use of a coating. Afrait teaches the use of a coating hydroxyapatite for knee

prosthesis. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention

to provide Cohen with the coating as taught by Afrait in order to increase the attachment between

the implant and the living tissue.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to D. Austin Bonderer whose telephone number is 703.306.5911. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday- Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Kevin P Shaver can be reached on 703.308.2582. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.0873.

dab

PEDRO PHILOGENE